

Reproducible Research

Joshua Entrop

NLG Epi Methods Retreat 10th of May 2023



- I. Statistical Analysis Plans
- II. Good Coding Practices
- III. Code Validation
- IV. Automation
- V. Research Documentation

0. Reproducibility

Reproducibility



Automatization

Code Validation

Good Coding Practices

• Statistical Analysis Plans

I. Statistical Analysis Plans

I. Statistical Analysis Plans

Aims

- 1. Lower the likelihood of type 1 error due to ad hoc analyses
- 2. Increase transparency of decisions made during the research process

Example Table of Content

- I. Notation and Abbreviations
- II. Objectives and Hypotheses
- III. Study Population
- IV. Inclusion Criteria
- V Exclusion Criteria

- VI. Measurement and Variables
- VII. Data Management
- VIII. Statistical Analyses
- IX References

I. Statistical Analysis Plans (cont'd)

Hiemstra et al. BMC Medical Research Methodology (2019) 19:233 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-019-0879-5

BMC Medical Research Methodology

DEBATE

Open Access

Check for updates

DEBATE-statistical analysis plans for observational studies

Bart Hiemstra^{1*}, Frederik Keus², Jørn Wetterslev³, Christian Gluud³ and Iwan C. C. van der Horst⁴



American Journal of Epidemiology © The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. Vol. 183, No. 8 DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwv254 Advance Access publication: March 18, 2016

Practice of Epidemiology

Using Big Data to Emulate a Target Trial When a Randomized Trial Is Not Available

Miguel A. Hernán* and James M. Robins

I. Statistical Analysis Plans (cont'd)

IV. INCLUSION CRITERIA

HL patients aged between 18-40 years at diagnosis were identified through the Swedish Lymphoma Register, the Danish Lymphoma Register (LYFO) and the Clinical Lymphoma Database at Oslo University Hospital in Norway using the codes listed in Table 1.

Table 1 List of codes used to identify HL patients by registers.

Register	Identification of HL cases	Years included
Clinical Lymphoma	ICD-O 3ed: 9560-9667	1995 - 2016
Database at Oslo		
University Hospital	If no ICD-O code was	
	available ICD-10 C81	
Swedish Lymphoma Register	ICD-O 3ed: 9560-9667	2000 - 2018
Danish Lymphoma Register	ICD-O 3ed: 9560-9667	2000 - 2019

A. OUTCOME - EFFICACY VARIABLES

entry_dt	Start of follow-up (date of diagnosis + 9 months) Variable class: date ("YYYY-MM-DD").			
exit_dt	Minimum of date of childbirth, date of relapse, date of stem cell transplantation, date of death, date a comparator became a case, or date of administrative censoring (Table 2). Variable class: date ("YYYY-MM-DD").			
st_yrs	Follow-up time in years (i.e. difference between exit_dt and entry_dt divided by 365.24). Variable class: double.			
exit_event	Event that lead to right censoring (exit_dt) Variable class: factor			
	1 = "CB" 2 = "SCT" 3 = "death" 4 = "END"	<pre>(if exit_dt is equal to cb_1_dt) (if exit_dt is equal to sct_dt) (if exit_dt is equal to death_dt) (if exit_dt is equal to dx_dt_comp, fup_10_dt, or ac_dt)</pre>		

I. Statistical Analysis Plans (cont'd)



I. Statistical Analysis Plans – to pre-registration

👬 OSF HOME -						Search	Support	Donate	Sign Up	Sign	In
Reproductive Patterns Among Non-Ho	Metadata	Files	Wiki	Analytics	Registrations						
Reproductive Patterns Ar	nong	Nor	-Ho	dgkin				1.2MB	Public	ĥ 0	

Reproductive Patterns Among Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Survivors by Subtypes in Sweden, Denmark and Norway

Contributors: Joshua Philipp Entrop, Karin Ekström Smedby, Caroline Weibull, Tarec El-Galaly, Sandra Eloranta Date created: 2022-01-28 11:10 AM | Last Updated: 2022-12-08 11:21 AM

Identifier: DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/A4U3D

Category: Project

Description: This study aims to investigate the total effect of NHL subtypes on live childbirths in NHL survivors in Sweden, Denmark, and the South-Eastern Health region in Norway. Specifically, we aim to estimate: Aim 1: The absolute and relative rates of childbirth over time since index date (date of diagnosis + 9 months) among NHL survivors, by lymphoma subtype, compared to matched general population comparators (free from NHL). Aim 2: The cumulative incidence of first (and possibly recurrent) childbirths over time since index date among NHL survivors, by lymphoma subtype, compared to matched general population comparators (free from NHL). License: CC-By Attribution 4.0 International **G**

Wiki C Citation Changes to the pre-registration on June 27th, 2022 Recent Activity • Replaced childbearing with reproductive patterns in the title: This change was made in order to find a term that includes both children born to female lymphoma survivors and children born to partners of male lymphoma survivors. joshua Philipp Entrop linked GitHub repo entjos/Reproduction_Among_NHL_Patients to Reproductive Patterns • The ICD-10 code Z71.1 was replaced by Z71.7 in the definition of HIV history: The code Z71.1 has been Among Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Survivors by Subtypes in Sweden, Denmark and Norway falsely used in th... 2022-12-08 11:21 AM Read More 🗱 Joshua Philipp Entrop authorized the GitHub addon for Reproductive Patterns Among Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Survivors by Subtypes in Sweden, Denmark and Norway

Available at https://osf.io/a4u3d/

I. Statistical Analysis Plans – to pre-registration

0

What to do if you would like to change you pre-registration?

Wiki

Changes to the pre-registration on June 27th, 2022

- Replaced *childbearing* with *reproductive patterns* in the title: This change was made in order to find a term that includes both children born to female lymphoma survivors and children born to partners of male lymphoma survivors.
- The ICD-10 code *Z71.1* was replaced by *Z71.7* in the definition of HIV history: The code *Z71.1* has been falsely used in th...

Read More

I. Statistical Analysis Plans – discussion

Are you using statistical analysis plans in your research project?

What is the purpose of using statistical analysis plans in your projects?

What are you recording in your statistical analysis plans?

II. Good Coding Practices

II. Good Coding Practices

Aim: Increase readability and usability of programme code



Project structure

./example_project
 +--- data
 +--- scripts
 +--- outputs
 | +--- graphs
 | +--- tables
 +--- library

13

¹https://style.tidyverse.org/; ²https://google.github.io/styleguide/; ³https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/styler/index.html; ⁴https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/lintr/index.html

II. Good Coding Practices – coding styles

Some basic rules

- Use verbs for function names
- Use nouns for object names
- Keep to maximum 80 characters in one line

Some more advanced rules

- Use indentation to highlight code structure
- Do not use spaces before closing and after opening brackets
- Use spaces after commas

```
# Good
add_row()
permute()
# Bad
row_adder()
permutation()
```

Bad cox.ph(Surv(st, event) ~ x1 + x2,

II. Good Coding Practices – project structure



./example_project
+ data
+ scripts
+ outputs
+ graphs
+ tables
+ library



R-projects¹



II. Good Coding Practices – project structure



Good
dta <- read("./data/index_pop")</pre>

Bad
dta <- read("C:/data/index_pop")</pre>



R-projects¹



II. Good Coding Practices – modularise your code





Example set up of scripts ./scripts +--- /data_cleaning +--- /modelling +--- /tables +--- /graphs +--- /user_functions +--- __init__.R +--- gen_risksets.R +--- gen_nhl_subtypes.R +--- summary_table.R

II. Good Coding Practices – discussion

Have you worked with a coding style before?

How do you usually set up your research project folders?

III. Code Validation

III. Code Validation

Aims

- 1. Assure logical correctness of programming code
- 2. Assure technical correctness of programming code



III. Code Validation – Git workflow



Сс	onversation 1 -O- Commits 5 F. Checks 0 🗄 Files changed 3	
<	simonsteiger commented on Mar 23	Contributor
	Formatted error messages and print method with cli	
	Added scalar operators to if-statements where necessary	
	Fixed typos	
	simonsteiger and others added 4 commits 2 months ago	
	-O- 💮 Add cli formatting 📖	67
	-O- 🏟 Remove rlang dependency	Verified 14
	-O- 💮 Fix if statement with scalar operator	81
	💮 Merge branch 'format-cli' of https://github.com/simonsteiger/Exclusio	44
	S entjos added the enhancement label on Mar 27	
	entjos requested changes on Mar 27	View reviewed ch
	entjos left a comment	Owner

III. Code Validation – example

Fix if statement with scalar operator						
💮 sim	🕐 simonsteiger committed on Mar 23					
	2	R/exclusion_table.R 🖸				
		@@ -96,7 +96,7 @@ exclusion_table <- function(
96	96	<pre>cli::cli_abort("{.var data} is not a {.cls data.frame} object.")</pre>				
97	97	}				
98	98					
99		- if(is.null(inclusion_criteria) & is.null(exclusion_criteria)){				
	99	<pre>+ if(is.null(inclusion_criteria) & is.null(exclusion_criteria)){</pre>				
100	100	<pre>cli::cli_abort(c(</pre>				
101	101	"Require at least one criterion",				
102	102	"x" = "Both {.var inclusion_criteria} and {.var exclusion_criteria} are unspecified.",				
····						

III. Code Validation – discussion

Have you done code validations before?

Do use guidelines for your code reviews?

IV. Automation

IV. Automation

Aim: Enable others to reproduce the exact outputs of your research project.

Automated processes

- Automated table creation
- Using automated workflows
- Using local package libraries



Karolinska Institutet - a medical university ¹https://www.gnu.org/software/make/; ²https://quarto.org/

Manual processes

- Copy pasting of numbers
- Writing down in and output files
- Manually running scripts
- Installing required packages

IV. Automation – automated workflows



Stata master file

```
# Data preparation
do extract_data.do
do clean_data.do
do def_st.do
```

Modelling
do model.do

```
# Tables
do table_1.do
do table_2.do
do table_3.do
```

Graphs
do graphs.do

IV. Automation – automated workflows (cont'd)





•

IV. Automation – automated workflows (cont'd)

:: Example Run.bat file

Welcome to my project please use one of the following options:

- 1. Compile the project
- 2. Show the log files form the last run
- 3. Delete files from a previous run

IV. Automation – discussion

Have you ever tried to rerun some of your previous analysis?

Which parts of your research are you automating?

V. Documentation

V. Documentation

Aim: Enable others to recreate your research project and understand your reasoning.

Internal documentation

- National regulations
- Funder regulations
- University regulation
- University archiving systems

External documentation



V. Documentation - external



V. Documentation – discussion

What are your archiving/documentation regulations?

How do we document Nordic collaboration projects?

